

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,510	06/01/2001	Daniel Monget	BONN-054	7991
75	590 09/09/2003			
James C. Lydon			EXAMINER	
Suite 100 100 Daingerfield Road Alexandria, VA 22314			GITOMER, RALPH J	
Alexandria, VA	22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	1
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/870,510

Applicant(s)

Monget et al.

Examiner

Ralph Gitomer

Art Unit 1651



	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	for Reply			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM		
		v. .136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a n	eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.		
		d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  tute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
- Any re		ling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 Crit 1.704(0).			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>J</u>	lun 13, 2003		
2a) □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) 🗆		owance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims	·		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>12-27</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢		is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on	is/are a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	I in reply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
•	•	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
		nents have been received in Application No		
		priority documents have been received in this National Stage and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) 🗌		domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
· _	The translation of the foreign language p			
15)		domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm	•			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
2) 🔲 N	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 💢 in	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	12 6) Cther:		

Serial No. 09/870,510 Art Unit 1651

The amendment, RCE request, and IDS received 6/13/2003 have been entered and claims 12-27 are presently pending in this application. The amended title is unacceptable.

The rejection of record of claims 12-20, 22-23, 27 under 35 USC 102(b) over Read (US 5,795,773) is maintained.

The rejection of record of claims 21 and 24-25 under 35 USC 103(a) over Read (US 5,795,773) is maintained.

Applicants argue that the cited reference to Rosnick has an effective date after the claimed priority date of this application to 12/4/1998. Rosnick (WO 00/09649) is a continuation of a US case which is related to Read (US 5,795,773) and has essentially the same specification and claims as Rosnick. The documents are considered here to be equivalents, except Read has a publication date of August 18, 1998. Note that Rosnick has a chain of related US cases, 5,795,773, 5,180,073, 3,951,293, 3,559,834, all with earlier publication dates than the present priority date claimed.

Applicants further argue that Read fails to show reducing a detection time of detecting a microorganism by adding a support as is now claimed.

5

10

15

20

It is the examiner's position that Read teaches in column 1 lines 40-42, detecting microbial activity after a period of time. In column 2 first paragraph, the growth rates of microorganisms is discussed. The reference also discussed different temperatures of incubation, varying concentrations of gases, both which would be expected to affect growth rates of microorganisms. Since no particular detection time under specified conditions is claimed, the claims are anticipated by Read.

Applicants are reminded that the invention is the subject matter defined by the claims, and the limitations of the specification are not read into the claims where no express statement of that limitation is included in the claims, see In re Priest, 199 USPQ 11.

Claims 12-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of the following applies in all occurrences.

The addition to claim 12 of \*\*reducing a detection time of said microorganism\*\* is indefinite because there is no detecting step and one would not know from what the time is reduced from or to what.

5

10

15

20

The title of the invention is not aptly descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

5

10

15

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (703) 308-0732. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 872-Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235. For 24 hour access to patent application information 7 days per week, or for filing applications electronically, please visit our website at www.uspto.gov and click on the button &Patent Electronic Business Center for more information.

20

1 Celowes

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Group 1651

25

ALPH GITOMER
RIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200